Meetings Per Year and Attendance Rates (2012/13 – 2020/21)


Year	Number of Meetings	Attendance rate	Meetings Per Cllr (Average)			
22/23 (projected –	Number of Freetings	Attendance rate	(Average)			
PACs to review key	101		19.5			
decisions only ¹)	+16% average increase ³ : 117	N/A	+16%: 22.6			
22/23 (projected –						
PACs to review all	121		22.8			
decisions ²)	+16% average increase ³ : 140	N/A	+16%: 26.4			
20/21	100	91.6%	24.0			
19/20	80	90.2%	19.0			
18/19	106	91.3%	25.1			
17/18	104	88.3%	24.3			
16/17	102	94.3%	24.1			
15/16	106	90.1%	25.4			
14/15	138	90.2%	27.3			
13/14	129	93.0%	26.5			
12/13	121	89.6%	25.3			
11/12	116	89.7%	23.6			
10/11	114	89.2%	25.3			

¹ Projections have been made using the Executive Arrangements diagram proposed by the working group. This includes 4 PACs, each with 9 Members. It has been projected that reviewing key decisions only would equate to each PAC meeting 5 times per annum.

² Projections have been made using the Executive Arrangements diagram proposed by the working group. This includes 4 PACs, each with 9 Members. It has been projected that reviewing all decisions would equate to each PAC meeting 10 times per annum.


³ 16% is the average difference between the meetings scheduled within the agreed calendar of meetings, and the actual number of meetings that occur, for example adjourned meetings and extraordinary meetings. The average is calculated from the last 6 full years, excluding 2019/20 figures.





21/22a - Projections have been made using the Executive Arrangements diagram proposed by the working group. This includes 4 PACs, each with 9 Members. It has been projected that reviewing key decisions only would equate to each PAC meeting 5 times per annum. This also includes the 16% average increase in meetings throughout the year.

21/22b - Projections have been made using the Executive Arrangements diagram proposed by the working group. This includes 4 PACs, each with 9 Members. It has been projected that reviewing all decisions would equate to each PAC meeting 10 times per annum. This also includes the 16% average increase in meetings throughout the year.



Expected Meeting Attendances Per Municipal Year, (2010/11 – 2020-21 and projected 2021/22)

21/22a - Projections have been made using the Executive Arrangements diagram proposed by the working group. This includes 4 PACs, each with 9 Members. It has been projected that reviewing key decisions only would equate to each PAC meeting 5 times per annum. This also includes the 16% average increase in meetings throughout the year.

21/22b - Projections have been made using the Executive Arrangements diagram proposed by the working group. This includes 4 PACs, each with 9 Members. It has been projected that reviewing all decisions would equate to each PAC meeting 10 times per annum. This also includes the 16% average increase in meetings throughout the year.

Council Size Impact on Meetings Per Councillor (Average)

Council Size	Number of meetings per Member (based on average of last 5 years)		Number of meetings per Member (based on lower projection, adjusted*)			Number of meetings per Member (based on higher projection, adjusted*)				
	Per Annum	Per Month	Per Annum (change from		Per Month (change from		Per Annum (change from		Per Month (change from	
			(en	current average)	(0)	current average)	(0//	current average)	(0	current average)
55 (current)	22.6	2.1	22.6	(0)	2.1	(0)	26.4	(+3.8)	2.4	(+0.3)
54			23.0	(+0.4)	2.1	(0)	26.9	(+4.3)	2.4	(+0.3)
51			24.4	(+1.8)	2.2	(+0.1)	28.5	(+5.9)	2.6	(+0.5)
48			25.9	(+3.3)	2.4	(+0.3)	30.2	(+7.6)	2.7	(+0.6)
45			27.6	(+5.3)	2.5	(+0.4)	32.2	(+9.6)	2.9	(+0.8)
42			29.6	(+7.0)	2.7	(+0.6)	34.1	(+11.5)	3.1	(+1.0)
39			31.9	(+9.3)	2.9	(+0.8)	37.2	(+14.6)	3.4	(+1.3)
36			34.6	(+12.0)	3.1	(+1.0)	40.3	(+17.7)	3.7	(+1.6)

*Adjusted to include average increase in meetings (+16%)